

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/811,160	03/26/2004	Eric Joseph Bilskie	9596	1981	
27752	7590 10/02/2006		EXAM	INER	
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY			PETERSON, KENNETH E		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION WINTON HILL BUSINESS CENTER - BOX 161			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
6110 CENTE	R HILL AVENUE		3724		
CINCINNAT	TI, OH 45224	,	DATE MAILED: 10/02/2000	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/811,160	BILSKIE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kenneth E. Peterson	3724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a		h the correspondence addi	9SS
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ate, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this com NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18	August 2006.		
<u> </u>	is action is non-final.	·	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the n	nerits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2,8,10,15,17 and 2</u>		ideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	_		
6) Claim(s) 1,3-7,9,11-14,16,18 and 19 is/are r	ejected.	·	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		·
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		v the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ction is required if the drawing(s	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO	-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	· ·	.,,,,,,	
 Certified copies of the priority documer 	nts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documer			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the principle.		eceived in this National St	age
application from the International Burea	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not re	eceived.	
		•	
Attachment(s)			•
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		mmary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		Mail Date ormal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		
S. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Office A	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date	20060925

Application/Control Number: 10/811,160

Art Unit: 3724

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 2

2. Claims 1,3-7,9,11-14,16,18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

McCay et al.'575 shows a core slabber with most of the recited limitation including a cutter (30) mounted on an axial traversing element (23,31), which in turn is mounted on a radial traversing element (11). The feeler 80 constitutes a controller that determines a maximum depth of cut (paragraph spanning columns 3 and 4). Below the roll is a material removal section, which receives the cut material.

McCay lacks an integral transport element, but it is well known in the art to employ an intergral transport element, as taught by Watanabe et al.'971, who shows a carriage 6 for transporting the roll in from a feed section. The roll is intrinsically conveyed to a nearby "discharge station". It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified McCay by adding an integral transport element, as taught by Watanabe, in order to facilitate loading of the heavy roll.

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Made of record but not relied on are patents to Von Gehlen and Esping showing roll cutting.

Art Unit: 3724

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth E. Peterson whose telephone number is 571-272-4512. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur, 7:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer Ashley can be reached on 571-272-4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

KENNETH E. PETERSON
RDIMARY EXAMINER